



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,760	12/21/2000	Alireza Raissinia	CISCP667	4516	
26541 7	590 06/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RITTER, LANG & KAPLAN P.O. BOX 2448 SARATOGA, CA 95070			LEE, JO	LEE, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2684	_ , .	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/748,760	RAISSINIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN J LEE	2684		

	JOHN J LEE	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing date of the final rejection. It discovers the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing by. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one in the final rejection, while date of the final rejection.	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		will not be entered by	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the prop	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying t	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTO) 004
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-12,21-24,27 and 28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments received on 3/14/200 have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive because the combined teaching of all the cited references as set forth in the previous final rejection reads on all the claims.

In this case, for example, The Applicant argues that the combination of Fischer (US Patent number 5,371,734) and Gutman et al. (US Patent number 5,691,729) do not teach the claimed limitation "during the upstream quiet period, measuring signal strength within the receiver, and determining receiver gain based on the measured signal strength and a known noise level". However, Fischer teaches that during the quiet period (no transmissions (beacon channels within communication cycle) are received for a predetermined period time an determines RF state relative communication power see Fig. 3 and column 39, lines 58 - column 40, lines 10), measuring signal strength within the receiver (to receive RF signals/beacon signals during each communication cycle (quiet period or data reception period) based on the strength of the received signal/beacon signal (measuring signal strength during the quiet period and data reception period within cycle) see column 6, lines 23 - 62 and Fig. 3, 18), and determining receiver gain based on the measured signal strength (the receiver determines and adjusts the receiver gain after measured the signal strength with in quiet period and signal reception period see Fig. 5 an column 16, lines 20 - column 17, lines 2), and also Gutman teaches determining receiver gain based on monitoring signal levels (strength and the constructive use of noise signals (front end noise (known) during the quiet period) (see Fig. 1, 2 and column 5, lines 19 - column 6, lines 67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Fischer system as taught by Gutman, provide the motivation to enhance the signal adaptability and reliability by precise measurement from reception period in communication system. Also, the claim limitation is not require or limit to interpret into special function, detail, or purpose.

Continuation of 13. Other: If any inquiry of this applicantion, please call the Examiner's direct phone number (571) 272-7880.

NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER